

Agenda Item: D.9

Request for Decision

Title Bylaw 1376/16 to amend Subdivision Authority Bylaw 1339/14 **Proposed Motion** 1. That Council give first reading to Bylaw 1376/16. 2. That Council give second reading to Bylaw 1376/16. 3. That Council give unanimous consent to proceed to third reading of Bylaw 4. That Council give third reading to Bylaw 1376/16. That Council give all three readings to Bylaw 1376/16. **Administrative** Recommendation **Previous Council** Subdivision Authority Bylaw 1339/14 was approved on March 10, 2014 Direction Report **Background information** In accordance with Section 654(2) of the Municipal Government Act, the Municipal Planning Commission (MPC) is obligated to adhere to the policies set out in approved statutory plans as part of their decision making process. As such, and being the Subdivision Authority, the MPC needs to refuse subdivision applications that do not align with the policies in the Municipal Development Plan. In order to create efficiencies for both the applicant and the MPC, Administration was asked by the MPC to look at opportunities to have the said applications refused without requiring a submission to the MPC. Legal counsel has recommended that the best way of achieving said goal, is "to amend the Subdivision Authority Bylaw to specify that for applications which do not conform with the provisions of applicable statutory plans, the subdivision authority is XXX. That could be the Manager of Current Planning & Development Services, or such other identifiable position." Hence, the amendment proposed allows for the Manager of Current Planning & Development to be the Subdivision Authority for those applications that do not conform to the provisions of applicable statutory plans. Furthermore, subdivision applications on land located within 800m of any provincial highway must meet the requirements of Sections 14 and 15 of the Subdivision and Development Regulation. In cases where these sections are not met, a variance from Alberta Transportation (AT) is required. Should AT refuse to grant such a variance, MPC must refuse the application. It is proposed that in these cases, the Manager of

Date Written:
Council Meeting Date:

September 25, 2016 October 11, 2016

- Current Planning & Development Services be the Subdivision Authority as well.
- If Council supports the proposed amendment, subdivision applications
 will still be processed as normal. In cases where an application does not
 conform to the provisions of an applicable statutory plan or meets with
 AT's support, the planning officer will prepare a report for the Manager
 of Current Planning & Development Services to refuse the application.
 Once the decision is made, the applicant will be informed accordingly,
 including, their option to appeal the decision.
- The third and final amendment being proposed to the Bylaw which amends the authority to extend Conditional Subdivision approvals from the Subdivision Authority to the Manager of Current Planning & Development Services under Section 4.3. As the with adoption of Conditional Subdivision Approval Extension Policy, the authority granted within was to allow Administration to extend an additional one-year time extension to subdivision approvals, if required. Therein Council has set clear direction as to how time extensions are granted. Assigning this authority to the Manager will streamline the process providing a better and quicker level of service to our customers.

External Communication

No external communication was completed nor is it required.

Relevant Policy/Legislation/Practices:

Municipal Government Act, R.S.A. 2000 C.M-26, authorize Council to establish and amend Bylaws.

Implication

Strategic Alignment:

Sturgeon County Strategic Plan 2012 - 2021

Focus Area: Operational Excellence

Goal 6.1.2 Sturgeon County has streamlined operational processes, through standardization and continuous improvement.

Goal 6.1.3 Sturgeon County has policies, operating systems and practices that support effective engagement and professional interaction with stakeholders.

Organizational:

The amendment will streamline the application process and create efficiencies for both the applicant and the MPC.

Financial:

Not applicable.

Alternatives Considered

Council could choose not to give first reading for the proposed amendment or could choose to not give three readings in one meeting and defer third reading to a subsequent Council meeting.

Date Written: Septem Council Meeting Date: Octobe

September 25, 2016 October 11, 2016

Implications of Alternatives

Strategic Alignment:

Not supporting approval of the proposed amendment will maintain the status quo and does not improve Operational Excellence Goal 6.1.2: where Sturgeon County seeks to streamline operational processes, through standardization and continuous improvement, per Strategic Plan.

Organizational:

The status quo will remain.

Financial:

Not applicable.

Follow up Action

Administration to implement the amendment.

Attachment(s)

- 1. Bylaw 1376/16
- 2. Bylaw 1339/14 Subdivision Authority

Report Reviewed

by:

Clayton Kittlitz, Manager Current Planning & Development Services

Stephane Labonne, General Manager of Integrated Growth

Peter Tarnawsky, County Commissioner - CAO

Strategic Alignment Checklist

Vision: Sturgeon County: a diverse, active community that pioneers opportunities and promotes initiative while embracing rural lifestyles.

Mission: Provide quality, cost effective services and infrastructure to meet the diverse needs of the Sturgeon County community, while improving competitiveness and sustainability.

Focus Areas	Not consistent	N/A	Consistent
Strong Local Governance and Regional Leadership			
We promote consistent and accountable leadership through collaborative and transparent processes (Strategic Plan, pg. 27 MDP)		×	
 Consistent with neighborhood role (see MDP), master plans,, policies 			
Considers fiscal stability and sustainability		×	
Has a positive impact on regional and sub-regional cooperation			
Respect the Natural Environment			
We acknowledge the importance of a healthy environment and will minimize and monitor our impact on ecosystems (Strategic Plan, pg. 27 MDP)		×	
Compliance with Provincial and Federal regulations and/or legislation			
Ensure effective environmental risk management		⊠	
Community Identity & Spirit			
We will build upon our strengths, where together we will create an inclusive, caring community (Strategic Plan, (Strategic Plan, pg. 27 MDP)		×	
 Promotes and/or enhances residents' identification with Sturgeon County 		×	
Enhances service provision through community partnerships		⊠	
Supports Sturgeon County's cultural history		×	
Planned Growth and Prosperity			
We encourage varied and integrated enterprises that enhance our strong economic base, while balancing the needs of the community and natural environment. (Strategic Plan, pg. 27 MDP)		×	
 Does the proposal align with the Integrated Regional Growth Strategy (map/policies) pg. 26 MDP 		×	
Considers cumulative costs and long-term funding implications		×	
Targets growth around current or planned infrastructure			
Maintain and Enhance Strong Communities			
We are committed to a safe, secure community, where our residents are respected and provided with access to opportunities. (Strategic Plan, pg. 27 MDP)		×	
Positive impact on residents' quality of life		×	
Supports and promotes volunteer efforts			
Provides programs and services that are accessible to all residents			
Operational Excellence			
We have the organizational capability to deliver consistent and defined levels of service to all stakeholders in a professional, efficient, and cost effective manner			×
 Staff have the knowledge, skills, resources and capability to perform their jobs 			⊠
Streamlines operational processes and policies			×
Promotes engagement and professional interaction with stakeholders			⊠
 Considers a cost-structure which allows Sturgeon County to remain competitive within a regional, national and global context 		×	

Date Written: September 25, 2016 Council Meeting Date: October 11, 2016